Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/684,191	GROEMMINGER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Necholus Ogden	1751
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) Necholus Ogden.	(3)	
(2) <u>Ritta Vacca</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 February 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 103		
Claims discussed: 17-20		
Prior art documents discussed:		
WO '049		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT	Γ WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide a e record of the substance	of the interview, since the interview
	·	
1		
Malal		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	ant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to include the lower limit of 13 for the particle size because of new matter issues. Accordingly, the claims and prior art of record were discussed. Upon updating the search it is deemed that the claims are considered allowable over the art of record..